

Are you from:

Academia

Industry

### General Organization

**Poor    Acceptable    Excellent**

1. Conference overall impression
2. Conference venue
3. Dinner location
4. Response time to inquiries (emails, phone, etc)
5. Website clearness/ completeness
6. Registration/payment procedure on website
7. Conference duration:  Too short     Ok     Too long
8. Optimal conference frequency: every  2 years (as now)     3 years     4 years
9. Third day technical courses  Not Interesting     Interesting     Very Interesting
10. How did you heard about the conference?  Magazines     Personal contacts     Email  
 Attended ICEB09 or ICEB07     Other conferences     Others

### Conference

**Poor    Acceptable    Excellent**

1. Conference topics
2. Scientific or technological level of the papers
3. Scheduling of the presentations
4. Most interesting topics (up to four):
 

<input type="checkbox"/> Dies and tools <input type="checkbox"/> Process Simulation (FEM etc.) <input type="checkbox"/> Seam and charge welds <input type="checkbox"/> Material flow & Friction <input type="checkbox"/> Microstructure & Heat treatments <input type="checkbox"/> Nitrogen Die cooling	<input type="checkbox"/> New alloys (Magnesium, hard alloys) <input type="checkbox"/> Process control & Process optimization <input type="checkbox"/> Process development (coextrusion, Composite extrusion) <input type="checkbox"/> Process data managing <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____
--	---

### Benchmark-Scientific

**Low    Medium    High**

1. Interest in scientific benchmark
2. Interest in selected die design
3. Clearness in results explanation
4. Clearness in results comparison
5. Level of discussion of the results
6. Future scientific benchmark should be focused on (up to three):
 

<input type="checkbox"/> Die life/tool stresses <input type="checkbox"/> Profile distortion <input type="checkbox"/> Profile mechanical proprieties <input type="checkbox"/> Material flow <input type="checkbox"/> Die coatings <input type="checkbox"/> Complex profile geometry (lot of profile details);	<input type="checkbox"/> Bridge shapes in porthole dies <input type="checkbox"/> Hollow profiles <input type="checkbox"/> Bearings effect <input type="checkbox"/> Maximum production rates <input type="checkbox"/> Microstructure <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____
---	--
7. Future scientific benchmark alloy should be:
 

<input type="checkbox"/> 2XXX series <input type="checkbox"/> 5XXX series <input type="checkbox"/> 6XXX series	<input type="checkbox"/> 7XXX series <input type="checkbox"/> New alloys (Al-lithium, other) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____
--	--

